Neuromorphic Computation: Architectures, Models, Applications

### **Associative Memory Models with Adiabatic Quantum Optimization**

#### Kathleen Hamilton, Alexander McCaskey, Jonathan Schrock, Neena Imam and <u>Travis Humble</u>

*Quantum Computing Institute Oak Ridge National Laboratory* 

How can ideas from quantum computing improve or speed up neuromorphic models of computation?

Oak Ridge, Tennessee, 30 June 2016







# **Neuromorphic and Quantum Computing**

#### • Two exciting new models for computation

- Practical interest is driven by need for more efficient computational platforms
- Specialized applications and processors are
- Neuromorphic computing
  - Adapt features of neural systems to computation
- Quantum computing
  - Adapt principles of quantum physics to computation
- Are there applications where the specialties of each model coincide?
  - Associate Memory Recall





# **Associative Memory**

#### Associative Memory

 A data storage mechanism whereby locations are identified according to stored value

#### • Content Addressable Memory (CAM)

 A memory that stores key-value pairs and recalls keys when provided with a value

#### Auto-associative CAM

- A CAM in which the key and value are the same
- Random Access Memory (RAM)
  - A memory that stores key-value pairs and recalls value when provided with a key/location



Pattern matching as a form of content addressable memory



# **Models of Associative Memory**

#### Hopfield Networks

- An associative memory using a recurrent network of computational neurons
- Network state evolves toward equilibrium

#### Discrete CAM model

Consider a network of *n* neurons, where the *i*-th neuron is in a bipolar state

$$z_i \in \{\pm 1\}$$

- Synaptic weight  $w_{ij}$  couples neurons *i* and *j* 

$$z_i = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \sum_j w_{ij} z_j > \theta_i \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Each neuron is activated when its local field exceeds the activation threshold  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ 



A 4-neuron network showing connectivity between nodes



# **Content-Addressable Memory Recall**

#### • Storing memories in a Hopfield network

- The network's synaptic weights store memories



- Recalling memories in a Hopfield network
  - Evolve under a stochastic update rule

$$z_i' = \operatorname{sign}\left(\sum_j w_{ij} z_j - \theta_i\right)$$

- Memories are stable fixed-points
- Convergence guaranteed because the network energy is a Lyapunov function



# **Mapping Recall to Optimization**

• Recast update in terms of global optimization

$$z'_i = \operatorname{sign}\left(\sum_j w_{ij} z_j - \theta_i\right) \longleftarrow z = \operatorname{arg\,min}_z E(z;\theta)$$

- Search for the spin configuration that minimizes the network energy
- Thresholds (bias) still represent best guess





# **Adiabatic Quantum Optimization**

- A quantum algorithm that returns the lowest energy state of a Hamiltonian
  - Evaluation makes use of the quantum superposition principle to sample configuration space
  - Execution depends on adiabatically evolving the quantum system toward a desired

$$\hat{H}(t) = A(t)\hat{H}_0 + B(t)\hat{H}_1$$

 Recovery of the lowest energy state is the primitive for memory recall

$$E(z;\theta) \to \hat{H}_1 = -\sum_{i,j} w_{ij} \hat{Z}_i \hat{Z}_j - \sum_i \theta_i \hat{Z}_i$$







## **Experiments with Quantum Optimization**

#### • We use the D-Wave quantum processor

- A special purpose quantum processor that finds the ground state of an Ising Hamiltonian
- Fabricated from coupled arrays of superconducting flux qubits
- Operated as a quantum annealer
- Validated as a probabilistic processor
- Programmability limited by hardware constraints
  - Size (# of qubits) and bits of precision restrict range of testable problem instances
  - Temperature and control systems limit range of execution scenarios







## **Experiments with Quantum Optimization**

#### • Step 1: Specify problem instances

- Select P memories and set one as the target memory
- Calculate the synaptic weights using a learning rule L
- Calculate the threshold / bias for the target memory
- Step 2: Solve problem instance
  - Program the Hopfield network into the hardware
  - Execute the quantum optimization program
  - Repeat execution *N* times to generate *N* samples
- Step 3: Confirm the correct memory was recalled.
  - Compute probability to recover correct memory





# **Experimental Measures of Capacity**

### Memory size 0.10n

- Probability to recall memory correctly
- Average accuracy plotted
- 100 random instances per spin size
- Hebb learning rule
- Accuracy increases with increasing bias





# **Experimental Measures of Capacity**

### Memory size 0.30 n

- Probability to recall memory correctly
- Average accuracy plotted
- 100 random instances per spin size
- Hebb learning rule
- Accuracy increases with increasing bias





# **Experimental Measures of Capacity**

### Memory size 0.50 n

- Probability to recall memory correctly
- Average accuracy plotted
- 100 random instances per spin size
- Hebb learning rule
- Accuracy increases with increasing bias





## Associative Memory Models with Adiabatic Quantum Optimization

## Concluding Points

- Associative memory was modeled using a discrete Hopfield network
- Memory recall in Hopfield networks was reduced to the quantum optimization problem
- Recall was validated experimentally using the D-Wave processor
- Recent theoretical arguments from Santra et al. suggest exponential capacity when using quantum optimizations (arixv:1602.08149)
- Our results find the accuracy is lower than expected, most likely due to hardware noise and constraints
- Continued hardware advances will should address these limits
- Seddiqi and Humble, Adiabatic quantum optimization for associative memory recall, Frontiers in Physics 2, 79 (2014)



Neuromorphic Computation: Architectures, Models, Applications

### **Associative Memory Models with Adiabatic Quantum Optimization**

#### Kathleen Hamilton, Alexander McCaskey, Jonathan Schrock, Neena Imam and <u>Travis Humble</u>

*Quantum Computing Institute Oak Ridge National Laboratory* 

How can ideas from quantum computing improve or speed up neuromorphic models of computation?

Oak Ridge, Tennessee, 30 June 2016





