A Study of Complex Deep Learning Networks on High Performance, Neuromorphic, and Quantum Computers

Thomas E. Potok, Ph.D.

Computational Data Analytics Group

Oak Ridge National Laboratory







Motivation and Goals

- Scientific data is increasingly large and complex, making new discovery difficult
- Can deep learning and novel architectures provide a way of aiding scientific discovery?



Each pixel represents a 512x512 matrix of values from Spallation Neutron Source at ORNL



Deep Learning Performance



- What could you do with
 - HPC,
 - Quantum
 - Neuromorphic?



Motivations for novel architectures

- Deep Learning network topologies are layered due to computability
 - Does a complex topology offer better results?
- Deep Learning Hyper-parameters are hard to tune
 - Can a CNN be quickly tuned for a new scientific datasets?
- Deep Learning Trained models are hard to deploy
 - Can models be deployed on or near scientific instruments?







5 Computational Data Analytics

Scientific Data

Methods

Quantum



Complex Topology



HPC

Auto Tuned Hyper Parameters

Neuromorphic



Hardware Implementation

CAK RIDGE

6 Computational Data Analytics

Scientific Data

Rational





Experimental Goals





How do we compare the architectures?



- The MNIST database contains 60,000 training images and 10,000 testing images
- Very small images size, 28x28
- <u>http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/</u>



Common Ground

- Quantum Physics
- Computer Science
- Electrical
 Engineering
- Neuroscience



Chimera Network



Limited Boltzmann Machine Network



784 "Visible" Nodes (28x28 pixels for image)



Quantum Results – USC/ISI D-Wave

Complex topology learns and provides better results than no intra-layer connection



Limited Boltzmann Machine learning from training examples Limited Boltzmann Machine more accurate than restricted BM



HPC Results – 500 nodes on Titan

Demonstrates an effective way of auto tuning a CNN



 MNIST

 0
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9

 Inner Product Layer (10 outputs)
 Inner Product Layer (10 outputs)

 Inner Product Layer (500, 590 hidden units)

 Pool2 Layer (Max, K=2)

 Conv2 Layer (50, 67 hidden units, K=5, 3)

 Pool1 Layer (Max, K=2)

Conv1 Layer (20, 29 hidden units, K=5, 5)



13 Computational Data Analytics

MNIST Training Data

Neuromorphic – ORNL/UT NIDA network on Memristor

20X more energy-efficient than their CMOS counterparts



Output



Conclusion

- Complex topologies with intra-layer connects have better classification performance than without connections
- HPC can be used to auto-tune CNN topologies
- Neuromorphic hardware has the potential to implement deep learning network in very low-power hardware
- A first step towards richer DL on novel architectures







- Evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of each approach
- Quantum: Explore more complex networks



- HPC: Auto tune on Limited Boltzmann machines model
- Neuromorphic: Implement of Limited Boltzmann on FPGA version of neuromorphic hardware



Team

- Deep Learning/HPC
 - Robert Patton (ORNL)
 - Steven Young (ORNL)
 - Thomas Potok (PI/ORNL)
- Quantum Computing
 - Federico Spedalieri (USC/ISI)
 - Ke-Thia Yao(USC/ISI)
 - Bob Lucas (USC/ISI)
 - Jeremy Liu (USC)
- Neuromorphic Computing
 - Garrett Rose (UT)
 - Katie Schuman (ORNL)
 - Gangotree Chakma (UT)
- Program Manager
 - Robinson Pino (DOE ASCR)





Questions?

